

REMARKS

The Office Action has rejected Claims 100-115 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph for allegedly being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In addition, it has rejected Claims 100-115 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting, as allegedly being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 6,432,452.


Applicant has amended Claim 100 and is submitting herewith a Terminal Disclaimer, which, when considered with the comments hereinbelow, are deemed to place the present case in condition for allowance. Favorable action is respectfully requested.

Claim 100 has been amended by deleting the term "obtainable" and replacing it with the word "obtained". Such amendment does not narrow the scope of the claims. This amendment overcomes the 35 U.S.C. §112 rejection, first paragraph. Withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

Applicant is enclosing a terminal disclaimer. This obviates the judicially created double patenting rejection. Withdrawal thereof is respectfully requested.

In view of the amendment to Claim 100 and the submission of a terminal disclaimer and the remarks hereinabove, it is respectfully submitted that the present case is in condition for allowance, which action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Mark J. Cohen
Registration No. 32,211

Scully, Scott, Murphy & Presser
400 Garden City Plaza
Garden City, NY 11530
(516) 742-4343
MJC:lf